

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT F COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20237

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 63/128,628 **09/13/93** · FARNWORTH **EXAMINER** KARLSEN, E E6M1/0117 STEPHEN A. GRATTON **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 10075 GLMBARK PLACE SAN DIEGO, CA 92131 2607 DATE MAILED: 01/17/95 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS \boxtimes Responsive to communication filed on 10-6-91This application has been examined A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims have been cancelled. 3. Claims are allowed. 4. Claims 5. Claims 6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with Informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are 🔲 acceptable; 🗖 not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _ __ has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _, has been __ approved; __ disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received Deen filed in parent application, serial no. ; filed on 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial No. 08/120,628
Art Unit 2607

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I and the species of Figure 6 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that both of Groups I and IV contain the same elements. This is not found persuasive because Applicants have not shown that the groups are not patentably distinct. Admission on the record that the groups are not patentably distinct will result in rejoinder.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 45, 51-56 and 71-77 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected group and species, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 10.
- 3. Claims 44 and 46-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 44 limitation (c) relates to a contact having several parts. It is not clear what the parts are and how they are structured relative to each other. It is not clear what surface is referred to in claim 44, line 14. Is the raised portion a separate element that is somehow attached to a surface? It is not clear what limiting effect the force and penetration relationships have on the scope of the claims.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under

-3-

Art Unit 2607

1 h

this section made in this Office action:

- A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 5. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 44 and 46-49 are, insofar as understood, rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being fully anticipated by any one of Littlebury et al., Liu et al., Kawada et al. or Leedy.

E. Karlsen: lsd January 9, 1995 703-305-4768 ERNEST F. KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 267

Ernest ditarsen